

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Bex 1456 Alexandra, Vingnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILE	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/748,908	12/27/2000		Thomas Schmidt	WE10018	5233
75	590	07/16/2003			
John F. Hoffm			EXAMINER		
BAKER & DA Suite 800	NIELS		VINCENT, SEAN E		
111 East Wayne Street Fort Wayne, IN 46802				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1 011 uy 110, 11.				1731	

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~ .		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Commons	09/748,908	SCHMIDT ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Sean E Vincent	1731					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any I	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 J	<u>une 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Thi	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
· _	Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
.,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□								
_	Claim(s) <u>5-7</u> is/are rejected.							
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	ion Papers	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicat	ion No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) \square The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) \square Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S Patent and Tr	rademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 09/748,908 Page 2

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

- 1. The substitute specification filed June 16, 2003 has not been entered because it does not conform to 37 CFR 1.125(b)because: no clean copy was submitted. The previous objection to the specification is repeated below, but upon submission of a clean copy of the substitute specification, the 112 objection would be withdrawn.
- 2. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: German words in parentheses (throughout the specification); "braking-out" on page 2, line 4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 09/748,908

Page 3

Art Unit: 1731

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frank et al (US 4865919) in view of Häfner (US 3453097).

6. Frank et al taught processes for the production of bent and tempered glass wherein cutting steps were followed by bending and tempering steps (see figure 1, col. 2, line 24 to col. 3, line 40 and col. 4, lines 12 to 27). While Frank et al does not teach laser cutting and breaking of the glass, per se, mention is made of "laser cutting" as one of several recommended techniques (see col. 3, lines 6-11). Frank et al further taught that it was well known that a "seaming" step following cutting was often required to reduce the chance of injuries to handlers. Häfner taught glass sheet cutting techniques involving laser scoring followed by breaking (see col. 1, lines 44-63; col. 2, lines 33-61; col. 3, lines 22-62). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to perform the laser cutting and breaking steps of Hafner in the process of Frank et al because Hafner taught that such laser cutting methods were less likely to require subsequent edge treatments.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 5-7 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1731

Conclusion

- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 9. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sean E Vincent whose telephone number is 703-305-3607. The examiner can normally be reached on M F (8:30 6:00) Second Monday Off.
- 11. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven P Griffin can be reached on 703-308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.
- Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0651.

Sean E Vincent Primary Examiner Art Unit 1731

S Vincent July 14, 2003